

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

In re Lidoderm Antitrust Litigation.

Case No. [14-md-02521-WHO](#)

**ORDER REQUIRING IN CAMERA
SUBMISSION**

Re: Dkt. No. 247


Plaintiffs challenge the withholding of information from six documents by Actavis on the basis of attorney client privilege.

Having reviewed the parties Joint Discovery Dispute (Dkt. No. 427), I ORDER Actavis to submit the six documents in question for in camera review no later than **10:00 am Tuesday April 5, 2016**. If necessary, Actavis may file – in the public docket – a brief of no more than three pages further explaining the basis for the withholding, along with supporting declarations, by 10:00 am Tuesday April 5, 2016.

The parties shall be prepared to discuss this issue during the April 5, 2016, Case Management Conference.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: April 1, 2016


WILLIAM H. ORRICK
United States District Judge